



# Cauchy's functional equation

🌐 11 languages

Article

Talk

Read

Edit

View history

Tools

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

**Cauchy's functional equation** is the **functional equation**:

f
(
x
+
y
)
=
f
(
x
)
+
f
(
y
)
.


{\displaystyle f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y).}

A function ***f*** that solves this equation is called an **additive function**. Over the **rational numbers**, it can be shown using **elementary algebra** that there is a single family of solutions, namely ***f** : **x** ↦ **c***x** for any rational constant ***c***. Over the **real numbers**, the family of **linear maps** ***f** : **x** ↦ **c***x**, now with ***c*** an arbitrary real constant, is likewise a family of solutions; however there can exist other solutions not of this form that are extremely complicated. However, any of a number of regularity conditions, some of them quite weak, will preclude the existence of these **pathological** solutions. For example, an additive function ***f** : **R** → **R*** is **linear** if:

- f*** is **continuous** (**proven** by **Cauchy** in 1821). This condition was weakened in 1875 by **Darboux** who showed that it is only necessary for the **function** to be continuous at one point.
  - f*** is **monotonic** on any **interval**.
  - f*** is **bounded** on any interval.
  - f*** is **Lebesgue measurable**.

On the other hand, if no further conditions are imposed on ***f***, then (assuming the **axiom of choice**) there are infinitely many other functions that satisfy the equation. This was proved in 1905 by **Georg Hamel** using **Hamel bases**. Such functions are sometimes called *Hamel functions*.<sup>[1]</sup>

The **fifth problem** on **Hilbert's list** is a generalisation of this equation. Functions where there exists a real number ***c*** such that ***f**(**c***x*) ≠ *c**f*(*x*)* are known as Cauchy-Hamel functions and are used in Dehn-Hadwiger invariants which are used in the extension of **Hilbert's third problem** from 3D to higher dimensions.<sup>[2]</sup>

This equation is sometimes referred to as **Cauchy's additive functional equation** to distinguish it from **Cauchy's exponential functional equation** ***f**(**x** + **y**) = *f*(*x*)*f*(*y*)*, **Cauchy's logarithmic functional equation** ***f**(*xy*) = *f*(*x*) + *f*(*y*)*, and **Cauchy's multiplicative functional equation** ***f**(*xy*) = *f*(*x*)*f*(*y*)*.

## Solutions over the rational numbers

[edit]

A simple argument, involving only elementary algebra, demonstrates that the set of additive maps ***f**: *V* → *W**, where ***V***, ***W*** are vector spaces over an extension field of **Q**, is identical to the set of **Q**-linear maps from ***V*** to ***W***.

**Theorem:** *Let **f**: *V* → *W* be an additive function. Then **f** is **Q**-linear.*

**Proof:** We want to prove that any solution ***f**: *V* → *W** to Cauchy's functional equation, ***f**(*x* + **y**) = *f*(*x*) + *f*(*y*)*, satisfies ***f**(*qv*) = *q**f*(*v*)* for any ***q*** ∈ **Q** and ***v*** ∈ *V*. Let ***v*** ∈ *V*.

First note ***f**(0) = *f*(0 + 0) = *f*(0) + *f*(0)*, hence ***f**(0) = 0*, and therewith ***0** = *f*(0) = *f*(*v* + (−*v*)) = *f*(*v*) + *f*(−*v*)* from which follows ***f**(−*v*) = −*f*(*v*)*.

Via induction, ***f**(*mv*) = *m**f*(*v*)* is proved for any ***m*** ∈ **N** ∪ {0}.

For any negative integer ***m*** ∈ **Z** we know −***m*** ∈ **N**, therefore ***f**(*mv*) = *f*((−*m*)(−*v*)) = (−*m*)*f*(−*v*) = (−*m*)(−*f*(*v*)) = *m**f*(*v*)*. Thus far we have proved

f
(
m
v
)
=
m
f
(
v
)


{\displaystyle f(mv)=mf(v)}

 for any ***m*** ∈ **Z**.

Let ***n*** ∈ **N**, then ***f**(*v*) = *f*(*nn*<sup>−1</sup>*v*) = *n**f*(*n*<sup>−1</sup>*v*)* and hence ***f**(*n*<sup>−1</sup>*v*) = *n*<sup>−1</sup>*f*(*v*)*.

Finally, any ***q*** ∈ **Q** has a representation ***q** = 



m
n


{\displaystyle {\frac {m}{n}}}* with ***m*** ∈ **Z** and ***n*** ∈ **N**, so, putting things together,

f
(
q
v
)
=
f
(


m
n


v
)
=
f
(


1
n


(
m
v
)
)
=


1
n


f
(
m
v
)
=


1
n


m
f
(
v
)
=
q
f
(
v
)
,


{\displaystyle f(qv)=f\left({\frac {m}{n}}v\right)=f\left({\frac {1}{n}}(mv)\right)={\frac {1}{n}}f(mv)={\frac {1}{n}}mf(v)=qf(v),}

 q.e.d.

## Properties of nonlinear solutions over the real numbers

[edit]

We prove below that any other solutions must be highly **pathological** functions. In particular, it is shown that any other solution must have the property that its **graph** 



{
(
x
,
f
(
x
)
)
|

x
∈

R

}


{\displaystyle \{(x,f(x))|x\in \mathbb {R} \}}

 is **dense** in **Failed to parse (SVG (MathML can be enabled via browser plugin): Invalid response ("Math extension cannot connect to Restbase.") from server "http://localhost:6011/en.wikipedia.org/v1/"): {\displaystyle \R^{2}}**, that is, that any disk in the plane (however small) contains a point from the graph. From this it is easy to prove the various conditions given in the introductory paragraph.

**Lemma**

— Let ***t*** > 0. If ***f*** satisfies the Cauchy functional equation on the interval 



[
0
,
t
]


{\displaystyle [0,t]}

, but is not linear, then its graph is dense on the strip 



[
0
,
t
]
×

R

.


{\displaystyle [0,t]\times \mathbb {R} .}

**Proof**

WLOG, scale ***f*** on the x-axis and y-axis, so that ***f*** satisfies the Cauchy functional equation on 



[
0
,
1
]


{\displaystyle [0,1]}

, and ***f**(1) = 1*. It suffices to show that the graph of ***f*** is dense in 



(
0
,
1
)
×

R

,


{\displaystyle (0,1)\times \mathbb {R} ,}

 which is dense in 



[
0
,
1
]
×

R

.


{\displaystyle [0,1]\times \mathbb {R} .}

Since ***f*** is not linear, we have ***f**(*a*) ≠ *a** for some ***a*** ∈ (0,1).

Claim: The lattice defined by ***L** := {(*r*<sub>1</sub> + *r*<sub>2</sub>*a*,*r*<sub>1</sub> + *r*<sub>2</sub>*f*(*a*)) : *r*<sub>1</sub>,*r*<sub>2</sub> ∈ **Q**}* is dense in **R**<sup>2</sup>.

Consider the linear transformation ***A** : **R**<sup>2</sup> → **R**<sup>2</sup>* defined by

A
(
x
,
y
)
=


[


1


a



1


f
(
a
)



]


[


x



y



]


{\displaystyle A(x,y)={\begin{bmatrix}1&a\\1&f(a)\end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}}

With this transformation, we have ***L** = *A*(**Q**<sup>2</sup>)*.

Since **det** ***A*** = ***f**(*a*) − *a* ≠ 0, the transformation is invertible, thus it is bicontinuous. Since **Q**<sup>2</sup> is dense in **R**<sup>2</sup>, so is ***L***. □*

Claim: if ***r***<sub>1</sub>,***r***<sub>2</sub> ∈ **Q**, and ***r***<sub>1</sub> + ***r***<sub>2</sub>*a* ∈ (0,1), then ***f**(*r*<sub>1</sub> + ***r***<sub>2</sub>*a*) = *r*<sub>1</sub> + ***r***<sub>2</sub>*f*(*a*)*.

If ***r***<sub>1</sub>,***r***<sub>2</sub> ≥ 0, then it is true by additivity. If ***r***<sub>1</sub>,***r***<sub>2</sub> < 0, then ***r***<sub>1</sub> + ***r***<sub>2</sub>*a* < 0, contradiction.

If ***r***<sub>1</sub> ≥ 0, ***r***<sub>2</sub> < 0, then since ***r***<sub>1</sub> + ***r***<sub>2</sub>*a* > 0, we have ***r***<sub>1</sub> > 0. Let ***k*** be a positive integer large enough such that 






r

1


k


,


−

r

2


a
k




∈
(
0
,
1
)
.


{\displaystyle {\frac {r\_{1}}{k}},{\frac {-r\_{2}a}{k}}\in (0,1).}

 Then we have by additivity:

f
(


r

1


k


+


r

2


a
k


)
+
f
(


−

r

2


a
k


)
=
f
(


r

1


k


)


{\displaystyle f\left({\frac {r\_{1}}{k}}+{\frac {r\_{2}a}{k}}\right)+f\left({\frac {-r\_{2}a}{k}}\right)=f\left({\frac {r\_{1}}{k}}\right)}

That is,

1
k


f
(

r

1


+

r

2


a
)
+


−

r

2


k


f
(
a
)
=


r

1


k




{\displaystyle {\frac {1}{k}}f(r\_{1}+r\_{2}a)+{\frac {-r\_{2}}{k}}f(a)={\frac {r\_{1}}{k}}}

□

Thus, the graph of ***f*** contains ***L** ∩ ((0,1) × **R**)*, which is dense in (0,1) × **R**.

## Existence of nonlinear solutions over the real numbers

[edit]

The linearity proof given above also applies to ***f** : **α****Q** → **R***, where **α****Q** is a scaled copy of the rationals. This shows that only linear solutions are permitted when the **domain** of ***f*** is restricted to such sets. Thus, in general, we have ***f**(**α***q*) = *f*(**α**)*q** for all ***α*** ∈ **R** and ***q*** ∈ **Q**. However, as we will demonstrate below, highly pathological solutions can be found for functions ***f** : **R** → **R*** based on these linear solutions, by viewing the reals as a **vector space** over the **field** of rational numbers. Note, however, that this method is nonconstructive, relying as it does on the existence of a (**Hamel**) **basis** for any vector space, a statement proved using **Zorn's lemma**. (In fact, the existence of a basis for every vector space is logically equivalent to the **axiom of choice**.)

To show that solutions other than the ones defined by ***f**(*x*) = *f*(1)*x** exist, we first note that because every vector space has a basis, there is a basis for **R** over the field **Q**, i.e. a set ***B*** ⊂ **R** with the property that any ***x*** ∈ **R** can be expressed uniquely as ***x** = 




∑

i
∈

I



λ

i




x

i




{\displaystyle x=\sum \_{i\in I}\lambda \_{i}x\_{i}}*, where 



{

x

i


}

i
∈

I




{\displaystyle \{x\_{i}\}\_{i\in I}}

 is a finite **subset** of ***B***, and each ***λ***<sub>*i*</sub> is in **Q**. We note that because no explicit basis for **R** over **Q** can be written down, the pathological solutions defined below likewise cannot be expressed explicitly.

As argued above, the restriction of ***f*** to ***x***<sub>*i*</sub>**Q** must be a linear map for each ***x***<sub>*i*</sub> ∈ ***B***. Moreover, because ***x***<sub>*i*</sub>*q* ↦ ***f**(*x*<sub>*i*</sub>)*q** for ***q*** ∈ **Q**, it is clear that 






f
(

x

i


)


x

i




{\displaystyle {\frac {f(x\_{i})}{x\_{i}}}}

 is the constant of proportionality. In other words, ***f** : ***x***<sub>*i*</sub>**Q** → **R*** is the map ***ξ*** ↦ [***f**(*x*<sub>*i*</sub>)/***x***<sub>*i*</sub>]***ξ***. Since any ***x*** ∈ **R** can be expressed as a unique (finite) linear combination of the ***x***<sub>*i*</sub>s, and ***f** : **R** → **R*** is additive, ***f**(*x*)* is well-defined for all ***x*** ∈ **R** and is given by:*

f
(
x
)
=
f
(


∑

i
∈

I



λ

i




x

i


)
=

∑

i
∈

I



f
(

x

i


λ

i


)
=

∑

i
∈

I



f
(

x

i


)

λ

i


.


{\displaystyle f(x)=f\left(\sum \_{i\in I}\lambda \_{i}x\_{i}\right)=\sum \_{i\in I}f(x\_{i}\lambda \_{i})=\sum \_{i\in I}f(x\_{i})\lambda \_{i}.}

It is easy to check that ***f*** is a solution to Cauchy's functional equation given a definition of ***f*** on the basis elements, ***f** : ***B*** → **R***. Moreover, it is clear that every solution is of this form. In particular, the solutions of the functional equation are linear **if and only if** 






f
(

x

i


)


x

i




{\displaystyle {\frac {f(x\_{i})}{x\_{i}}}}

 is constant over all ***x***<sub>*i*</sub> ∈ ***B***. Thus, in a sense, despite the inability to exhibit a nonlinear solution, "most" (in the sense of cardinality<sup>[3]</sup>) solutions to the Cauchy functional equation are actually nonlinear and pathological.

## See also

[edit]

- Antilinear map** – Conjugate homogeneous additive map
  - Homogeneous function** – Function with a multiplicative scaling behaviour
  - Minkowski functional** – Function made from a set
  - Semilinear map** – homomorphism between modules, paired with the associated homomorphism between the respective base rings

## References

[edit]

- ↑ Kuczma (2009), p.130
  - ↑ V.G. Boltianskii (1978) "Hilbert's third problem", Halsted Press, Washington
  - ↑ It can easily be shown that **card**(***B***) = **c**; thus there are **c**<sup>**c**</sup> = **2**<sup>**c**</sup> functions ***f** : ***B*** → **R***, each of which could be extended to a unique solution of the functional equation. On the other hand, there are only **c** solutions that are linear.
- Kuczma, Marek (2009). *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*. Basel: Birkhäuser. ISBN 9783764387495.

## External links

[edit]

- Solution to the Cauchy Equation **Rutgers University**
  - The Hunt for Addi(c)tive Monster**
  - Martin Sleziak; et al. (2013). "Overview of basic facts about Cauchy functional equation". *StackExchange*. Retrieved 20 December 2015.

Category:

Functional equations

